

Compliance inspection report form
Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf>.

Property information

Local tracking number: _____

Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 01-0028700 Reason for Inspection Property Transfer
Local regulatory authority info: Todd Co P&Z
Property address: 18300 460th St. Verndale
Owner/representative: David A Meyer Owner's phone: _____
Brief system description: 1250 gal precast tank w/513 sqft rock trench type system

System status

System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 6/30/2026☒ **Compliant – Certificate of compliance***

(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)

***Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.**

☐ **Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance**

Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.

Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)

- ☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- ☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Imminent threat to public health and safety*
- ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.2500 (Compliance component #3) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – *Failing to protect groundwater*
- ☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance component #4) – *Noncompliant - local ordinance applies*

Comments or recommendations

*System is operating as designed.

Recommend having tank pumped yearly

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.

By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form.

Business name: Statema Backhoe Svc LLCCertification number: L2758Inspector signature: Steve StatemaLicense number: 895

(This document has been electronically signed)

Phone: 218-820-5408

Necessary or locally required supporting documentation (must be attached)

- ☐ Soil observation logs
- ☐ System/As-Built
- ☐ Locally required forms
- ☐ Tank Integrity Assessment
- ☐ Operating Permit
- ☐ Other information (list): _____

1. Impact on public health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System discharges sewage to the ground surface

☐ Yes* ☒ No

System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.

☐ Yes* ☒ No

System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.

☐ Yes* ☒ No

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.

Describe verification methods and results:

Observation.

Attached supporting documentation:

☐ Other: _____

☐ Not applicable

2. Tank integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:

System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?

☐ Yes* ☒ No

Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?

☐ Yes* ☒ No

If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:

Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

Describe verification methods and results:

- Used camera to verify tank integrity

Attached supporting documentation:

☒ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector

Name of maintenance business: Schmitz Septic

License number of maintenance business: 3840

Date of maintenance: 6/30/2026

☐ Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)

Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): _____ (must be within three years)

(See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))

☐ Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below)

☐ Other: _____

3. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsecured?

☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown

3b. Other issues (*electrical hazards, etc.*) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown

***Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.**

3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?

☐ Yes* ☒ No

3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?

☐ Yes* ☒ No

***Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Not applicable ☐

4. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of 5 ☒ Not applicable

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?

☐ Yes ☐ No

If “yes”, A below is required

Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If “yes”, B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is “no”, this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria:

a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?

☐ Yes ☐ No

b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Any “no” answer indicates noncompliance.

Describe verification methods and results:

Attached supporting documentation: ☐ Operating permit (Attach) ☐

5. Soil separation – Compliance component #5 of 5

Date of installation 9/22/1998 ☐ Unknown
(mm/dd/yyyy)

Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? ☐ Yes ☒ No

Compliance criteria (select one):

5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: ☐ Yes ☐ No*

Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment: ☒ Yes ☐ No*

Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*

5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080.2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day) ☐ Yes ☐ No*

Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.

***Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.**

Describe verification methods and results:

* Used 3" soil auger to verify soils.

Attached supporting documentation:

- ☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report
☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separation
☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
☐ _____

Indicate depths or elevations

A. Bottom of distribution media	24
B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	+72
C. System separation	+36
D. Required compliance separation*	36

*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Soil Observation Log

Project ID:

v 04.01.2020

Client: <u>David Meyer</u>		Location / Address: <u>18300 460th St. Verndale</u>							
Soil parent material(s): (Check all that apply)		☐ Outwash ☐ Lacustrine ☐ Loess ☒ Till ☐ Alluvium ☐ Bedrock ☐ Organic Matter							
Landscape Position: (select one)	<u>Shoulder</u>	Slope %: <u>4.0</u>	Slope shape: <u>Convex, Linear</u>	Elevation-relative to benchmark: <u>0.0</u>					
Vegetation: <u>Lawn</u>	Soil survey map units: <u>374C</u>		Limiting Layer Elevation: <u>72</u>						
Weather Conditions/Time of Day: <u>Sunny</u>		<u>1630</u>	Date: <u>06/30/26</u>						
Observation #/Location: <u>1</u>		<u>10' S of drainfield</u>		Observation Type: <u>Auger</u>					
Depth (in)	Texture	Rock Frag. %	Matrix Color(s)	Mottle Color(s)	Redox Kind(s)	Indicator(s)	I----- Structure-----I		
							Shape	Grade	Consistence
0-9	Sandy Loam	8	10YR 2/1				Blocky	Weak	Friable
9-48	Sandy Loam	8	10YR 4/3				Granular	Weak	Friable
48-72	Sandy Loam	12	10YR 4/3				Blocky	Weak	Friable
I hereby certify that I have completed this work in accordance with all applicable ordinances, rules and laws.									
<u>Steve Statema</u>		<u>Steve Statema</u>		<u>895</u>		<u>6/30/26</u>			
(Designer/Inspector)		(Signature)		(License #)		(Date)			
Optional Verification: I hereby certify that this soil observation was verified according to Minn. R. 7082.0500 subp. 3 A. The signature below represents an infield verification of the periodically saturated soil or bedrock at the proposed soil treatment and dispersal site.									
<u></u>		<u></u>		<u></u>		<u></u>			
(LGU Inspector)		(Signature)		(Cert. #)		(Date)			



Draifield

X
Boring

Tank

01-0028700
DAVID A MEYER
TRUSTEE

18300

SCHMITZ

Septic Service

No. 6328

Dale Schmitz
19956 Co Rd 66 • Wadena, MN 56482
218-639-6482 • Lic #L3840

Date: 6-30-26

Customer: _____

Address: 18300 460 St Verndale

Gallons Removed: 1000

Tank Leaks: Yes: _____ No: X

Access Point for Septic Removal:

Manhole: _____ Other: 6" pipe

Disposal Method: SA

Pumping Reason: Inspection

Safety Concerns with Maintenance Hole Cover?:

Troubleshooting or Repairs Conducted?:

Comments/Concerns:

Quantity	Description	Amount
1	Septic pump	220
	Stove Statermg	

White Copy/Customer

Yellow Copy/Office

THANK YOU

Please keep this copy

Tax: _____

Total: 220